

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

OSCAR ULISES MARTINEZ, as Guardian of  
FRANCIA V. CASTILLO,  
Plaintiff,  
vs.  
KOSTADIN STOYKOV KARAFEZIEV and  
BULEX SERVICE CORPORATION,  
Defendants.

Case No. 2:12-cv-00569-JCM-GWF  
**ORDER**

The Court has received the parties' Discovery Plan and Scheduling Order. LR 26-1(e)(1) provides that unless otherwise ordered, discovery periods longer than one hundred eighty (180) days from the date the first defendant answers or appears will require special scheduling review. LR 26-1(d) states that if longer deadlines are sought, the plan shall state on its face "**SPECIAL SCHEDULING REVIEW REQUESTED.**" Where such special scheduling review is requested, the plan shall state the reasons why longer or different time periods should apply.

In this case, the first answer by a defendant in the case was filed on April 6, 2012. Therefore, under LR 26-1(e), the standard 180 day period for discovery would expire on October 4, 2012. In their plan, however, Plaintiff requests a 243 day discovery cut-off and Defendants request a 450 day discovery cut-off. The discovery plan and scheduling order submitted by the parties, therefore, does not comply with LR 26-1(e)(1). Accordingly,

**IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that the parties shall, within ten (10) days of the date of this order, either submit a proposed discovery plan in compliance with LR 26-1(e), or a proposed plan

• • •

1 stating on its face "SPECIAL SCHEDULING REVIEW REQUESTED" and setting forth therein  
2 the reasons why longer or different time periods should apply.

3 DATED this 11th day of June, 2012.

4   
5 GEORGE FOLEY, JR.  
6 U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE

7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28